



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,639	02/07/2001	Babak Nemati		7136

7590 02/04/2003

SUZANNAH K. SUNDBY, ESQ.
JACOBSON HOLMAN, PLLC
400 SEVENTH STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2201

EXAMINER

HAYES, MICHAEL J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3763

DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/777,639

Applicant(s)

NEMATl, BABAK

Examiner

Michael J Hayes

Art Unit

3763

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael J Hayes.

(3) _____.

(2) Suzannah Sundby.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 January 2003 .

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____ .

Claim(s) discussed: N/A .

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner confirmed to Applicant's representative that the 102 rejection made in Paper No. 7, mailed 10/01/02, was made under 102(e) in accordance with this section quoted in the office action. The subsequent indication of the rejection as under 102(b) was a typographical error. There is no restart of time and the time period for reply remains as set forth in the previous office action (Paper No. 7). See MPEP 710.06 .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

MICHAEL J. HAYES
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required